

27 May 2021 | Analysis

# Could US FDA User Fee Bill Include The Long-Sought 505(b)(2) Pathway For Biosimilars?

by Derrick Gingery

Former FDA official says the pathway is needed to avoid wasted sponsor time and effort.

A 505(b)(2)-like pathway for biosimilars could make the agenda for upcoming US Food and Drug Administration bills, given its potential to streamline approval of some products and encourage innovation.

The generics pathway allows the applicant to rely on some data that others developed to gain approval. Sponsors can improve a product, such as create a new faster acting dosage form, and gain approval without conducting some clinical trials.

An equivalent road for biosimilars was not included in the 2009 legislation that authorized approval of the products. Under current law they must be developed as new biologics.

Eva Temkin, a partner at King and Spalding, who recently left the FDA after serving as acting associate director for policy in the Office of Therapeutic Biologics and Biosimilars, wondered whether the issue could be included in user fee reauthorization legislation.

"If I had a magic wand, I would probably urge folks to take on this idea of the [505](b)(2)-like pathway," Temkin said on 19 May during the Food and Drug Law Institute Annual Conference. "There is not a mechanism for someone who wants to innovate in a limited capacity and doesn't want to take on a full complement of clinical studies in biologics. I think what you end up seeing is some duplicative work being done, particularly for those small innovations and for some combination products, where it's not as efficient as it could be." Acting Commissioner Janet Woodcock also has complained about the lack of a 505(b)(2) pathway for biosimilars. When she was director of the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Woodcock said the market needs a way for so-called biobetters, or biologics that are improvements of existing products, to reach the market. (Also see "<u>Woodcock Bemoans Lack Of 'Biobetter' Provision In</u> <u>BPCIA</u>" - Pink Sheet, 3 Oct, 2019.)

The user fee bill, expected to be debated and enacted in 2022, historically has included many changes to FDA operations, including new approval pathways. The popular breakthrough therapy designation was created in the

## *Celltrion Pushes 'Biobetters' Concept With Remsima SC*

#### **By David Wallace**

15 Nov 2019

Celltrion head of clinical development Sang Joon Lee has set out the promise of 'biobetters' – biosimilars that improve upon the original reference product – at the FT Global Pharmaceutical and Biotechnology Conference 2019. However, obstacles remain, including the lack of a tailored regulatory pathway.

#### Read the full article here

2012 FDA Safety and Innovation Act. (Also see "*FDA's Breakthrough Designations Face Question Of Review Resources*" - Pink Sheet, 25 Sep, 2013.)

The 2017 FDA Reauthorization Act also created the priority assessment pathway for generic drugs. (Also see "*Lack Of Priority? No ANDAs Approved Using Expedited Pathway In FY 2018*" - Pink Sheet, 5 Nov, 2018.)

The second edition of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cures Act also could provide a vehicle for the biosimilar pathway. The bill could include FDA reforms as part of its goal to speed the availability of new treatments. The bill's authors want President Biden's proposal to create an Advanced Research Project Agency for Health to anchor the legislation. (Also see "*Cures 2.0 Leaders Sees Biden 'Advanced Research' Project As Ticket To Bill's Success*" - Pink Sheet, 18 May, 2021.)

Before user fee legislation can be developed, industry and FDA representatives must negotiate an agreement to reauthorize the biosimilar and other programs for another five years. Biosimilar user fee talks began in March, generic drug user fee negotiations remain ongoing, and prescription drug user fee talks are completed. (Also see "*BsUFA III Talks Begin With Regulatory Science Disagreement*" - Generics Bulletin, 4 May, 2021.)

# Let FDA Determine Exclusivity Policy Before Legislating Changes, Temkin Says

Temkin was not convinced that legislation is needed to clarify biologic exclusivity provisions, at least not yet.

# GENERICS BULLETIN

Biologics exclusivity generally is 12 years, but Temkin said lingering questions remain. Among them is first interchangeable exclusivity, which is to be awarded to the first product in a class that gains an interchangeability designation. Complicated calculations govern the length of the exclusivity period, but the FDA has yet to announce how it will handle those cases.

"I don't think that we necessarily need legislative change on exclusivity until we know how those provisions are going to be interpreted," she said during the conference.

Guidance on first interchangeable exclusivity is in development as the agency is considering potentially awarding the first interchangeable designation. (Also see "*Biosimilars: US FDA Developing Guidance For First Interchangeable Exclusivity*" - Generics Bulletin, 20 Nov, 2020.)

## **Purple Book Patent Problems**

In addition, Temkin suggested that mandated transparency updates to the Purple Book may wind up delaying biosimilar entry.

The 2020 Biological Product Patent Transparency Act among other things mandated that the FDA include some patent information in the Purple Book, the biologics equivalent of the Orange Book.

Temkin said the first patent listings likely will not be available until at least June and said the industry response will be interesting to watch. She argued that the information would be a tremendous advantage for the second, third and fourth sponsors of a specific biosimilar, since they will have patent information that the first filer will not.

"It's not necessarily a question of whether it went far enough or should have gone farther, but whether it went in the right direction," she said. "The first biosimilar, the one who's really taking on the heavy lift of developing against a new reference product, won't have the benefit of that information until the point where they decide to engage in the patent dance and they've done the exchange of lists."

Temkin added that "there are probably ways that it could be improved upon."

"I think it's important that we have a variety of reference products that are being targeted rather than a consolidation in the biosimilars marketplace of six, seven, eight, nine biosimilars that are targeting the same reference product," she said.

The FDA recently unveiled an enhanced Purple Book that is searchable and includes more product information. (Also see "*FDA Updates 'Purple Book' Database*" - Generics Bulletin, 7 Aug, 2020.)